GNSS Interoperability

Lu Xiaochun

National Time Service Center Chinese Academy of Sciences

GNSS Interoperability



Definition of Interoperability

→ Signal Design for Interoperability

Quantitative Evaluation Algorithm

Definition

Refers to the ability of global and regional navigation satellite systems and augmentations and the services they provide to be used together to provide better capabilities at the user level than would be achieved by relying solely on the open signals of one system.

Definition

- **1.Provide <u>better</u> services for the user level;**
- 2.Interoperability benefits *outweigh* its cost;
- 3. *High-performance* interoperability signal;
- 4.Coordinate reference frame of each system <u>close to</u> ITRF;
- 5. The system time of each system *trace to* UTC;

Definition

7. Broadcast interoperability messages.

8.<u>Cost-effective</u> interoperability receiver; 9.<u>Enhanced</u> sharing of system resources.

GNSS Interoperability



Definition of interoperability

Signal Design for Interoperability

Quantitative Evaluation Algorithm

Interoperability Signal design focus on

Carrier frequency

Modulation





Interoperability carrier frequency

- 1、Same carrier frequency
- $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{Frequency} \text{ offset is a few kHz}$
- 3、Completely different frequency

Expected but should think about the interference

On the assumption that: $C/N_0 = 46 dBHz$, BW = 20 MHz

Wanted Signal	GPS Signals	Single signal	12 GPS visible satellites signals	
	BPSK (1)	0.0037@43.8364 dBHz;	0.0448@ 43.8098dBHz	
	BPSK (10)	0.0021 @43.9072 dBHz	0.0247@ 43.8939dBHz	0.1529@
MBOC(6,1,1/11	BOC (10,5)	1.2221e- 4@43.9941dBHz	0.0015@ 43.9936dBHz	43.3821dBHz
	MBOC(6,1)	0.0068@43.7029 dBHz	0.0819@ 43.6580dBHz	

On the assumption that: $C/N_o = 46 dBHz$, BW=20MHz

Wanted Signal	Galileo Signals	Single signal	12 Galileo E1 signals		
L1	BOCcos (15,2.5)	9.3256e- 7@44.00dBHz	1.1191e- 5/@44.00 dBHz	0.0819 @	
MBOC(6,1,1/11)	CBOC	0.0068@43.675d BHz	0.0819@ 43.6580dBHz	43.6580 dBHz	

Wanted signal	Galileo signal	Single signal	12 Galileo E5a signals
E5a:QPSK(1 0)	QPSK(10)	0.0026@43.99 dBHz	0.03 @43.86 dBHz



There is essentially no gain from a large

frequency offset.



The interference of CBOC(6,1,1/11) to TMBOC(6,1,4/33), when Frequency offset is within [1kHz, 900kHz].

5th ICG meeting, Italy

Modulation

The correlation curve

Acquisition

Tracking

Multi-path performance

The correlation curve

A <u>Sharp</u> correlation peak will be proposed

which can *reduce* the number of side lobes and

the probability of false lock.

Acquisition performance

<u>Longer</u> code period and <u>lower</u> message rate ensure enough integration time to effectively <u>enhance</u> acquisition performance.



Tracking performance

A <u>high</u> chip rate and <u>long</u> integration time to

effectively reduce the receiver's tracking error.



Multi-path performance

Correlation peak, main peak curve and TC, TS (chip rate (1/TC) or sub-carrier rate (1/TS)) are relevant. When TC, TS become <u>smaller</u>, the correlation peak becomes more <u>sharp</u> and the multi-path errors are <u>smaller</u>.



Channels Design



Separate pilot and data channel will bring the signal design greater flexibility.

5th ICG meeting, Italy



The first time-to-fix, the system information itself and additional information content shall be considered.

5th ICG meeting, Italy

GNSS Interoperability



Definition of interoperability

Interoperability Signal

Quantitative Evaluation Algorithm

Performances and Cost of GNSS

are two main items to evaluation

interoperability.



Mathematical Modeling



 $Z(t) = \frac{x(t)}{y(t)}$

Z(t): Performance Cost Rate

From Logistic model. Notice: $\{(x, y) | x \in [0, M], y \in [0, N]\}$ Performance will be x(t)increasing with a upperformance y(t)bound.

M Ciosta will obe decreasing with

- N an nowern loounaddecreasing
- α : self-increasing rate of performance
- eta: influence factor of cost to performance
- γ : Non-linear influence

 δ : influence factor of performance to cost

Mathematical Modeling



Mathematical Modeling



Equilibria $A_1, A_2,$ A_3 do not have practical significance; and they are unstable. Positive Equilibrium A_4 have practical

significance.

 $X(A_4)$ to $Y(A_4)$ reflect the Performance Cost Rate.





Evaluate GPS/Galileo Interoperability

Investigate 7 receivers: <u>3 of single GNSS;</u> 4 of GPS/Galileo Interoperability.

			One GNSS	Interoperabili ty	Maximum	M or N
	Pe	Accuracy	0.795	-0.596	1.391	
	rfor	Continuity	0.468	-0.351	0.819	4 400
	ma	Availability	-1.069	0.802	1.871	1.466
	A4: (1.38, 0.72).					
	In this example, the Performance Cost Rate is 1.907 . The result is <u>expected</u> .					1.907.
		Power	-0.740	0.555	1.295	
NOTICE: All data have been averaged and standardized.						
	5th ICG meeting, Italy 3					

Evaluate different Interoperability ways

Different Interoperability ways:

	Positive Equilibrium	P&C Rate	Order
All the same	(0.318,0.166)	1.916	1
Different carrier wave frequency	(0.542,0.415)	1.307	6
Different Modulation	(0.423,0.277)	1.527	5
Different frequency Spectrum	(0.431,0.273)	1.577	4
Different receiver power	(0.389,0.234)	1.661	3
Different message	(0.366,0.195)	1.878	2

Summary

Interoperability Signal

Viewpoint on Frequency Choice, Message, Modulation & Channels Design

Quantitative Evaluation Algorithm

Evaluate different Interoperability ways

National Time Service Center luxc@ntsc.ac.cn