

Research on GNSS Interoperable Parameters

LU Xiaochun

National Time Service Center Chinese Academy of Science Beijing, China, Nov. 7, 2012

Background



Interoperability refers to the ability of global and regional navigation satellite systems and augmentations and the services to be used together to provide better capabilities at the user level than would be achieved by relying solely on the open signals of one system.



Multi-GNSS is able to achieve interoperability.



BUT, existence of differences among GNSSs cause inconvenience to users.



Thus, we need:

- Define the differences among GNSSs
- Study the parameters to represent these differences
 - Process and transmit the parameters to usersMake sure the users can depend on the parameters to improve services

Differences among GNSSs

Differences among GNSSs:

- – Constellation: Satellite number, Types of satellite orbit, ect.
- – Signal: Modulation, Center frequency, Received power, ect.
- – Message: Massage structure, Data content, Data format, etc.
- **—**—System time reference
- **–** System coordinate reference

These differences effect users on:

– – Position ,Navigation and Timing

Differences among GNSSs

Positioning equation:

$$\sqrt{(x-x_i)^2+(y-y_i)^2+(z-z_i)^2}-c\cdot v_{T_b}=\tilde{\rho}_i+(\delta\rho_i)_{ioh}+(\delta\rho_i)_{trop}-c\,v_{T_b}$$

) the elements :

- can be obtained from signals or messages of different system;
- have different format and precision.

	Differences				Р	Differences			
Elements	From Signal	From Message	Format	Precision	arameter	From Signal	From Message	Format	Precision
(x_i, y_i, z_i)		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	$ ilde{ ho}_i$	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
$(\delta ho_i)_{ion}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	${\mathcal V}_{t^a_i}$		\checkmark		\checkmark

NT

Differences	Items
Signal	User-Received Signal Level, Modulation Error, Correlation Characteristics, Phase Coherence, TGD
Ephemeris	Orbit offset, GNSS reference bias
Onboard Clock	Clock offset, GNSS Time Bias
Propagation	Ionosphere
••••	•••••

GNSS interoperable parameters



In order to provide better service for users:

- utilize specific method to monitor interoperable parameters;
- calculate parameters in a common time reference and coordinate reference frame;
- broadcast parameters to users;
- take parameters to eliminate adverse effect of the GNSS differences.





Divide these interoperable parameters into two sub-sets:



Signal Parameters



Provide users interoperable parameters in signal level:

– ability to chose a high quality signal in receiving process

 – reduce the first positioning duration and decrease the complexity of receiver

10





Definition: Outputs of correlation peak amplitude and correlation curve characteristics after the operation of signal correlation.

Correlation loss: Power difference between the actually received signal and the ideal signal in the designed bandwidth of the signal.

Correlation curve:The curve obtained through
correlation calculationthrough
betweenrecovered ranging code and the ideal
ranging code of all signals.the ideal

Detection : The monitoring receiver acquire navigation signal, and then evaluate amplitude attenuation and curve distortion which is caused by wave distortion.



 $\int S_{BB-\operatorname{Pr}e\operatorname{Pr}oc}(t) \cdot S_{\operatorname{Re}f}(t-\varepsilon) dt$ $CCF(\varepsilon) = \frac{0}{\sqrt{\left(\int_{0}^{T_{p}} \left|S_{BB-PreProc}(t)\right|^{2} dt\right) \cdot \left(\int_{0}^{T_{p}} \left|S_{Ref}(t)\right|^{2} dt\right)}}$

 $S_{BB-PrePeoc}$ is the base-band signal been pretreated (down conversion, Doppler removal); reference signal S_{Ref} is ideal base-band signal generated by local receiver; integral time T_{v} is the main code period of reference signal.

Relative loss:

Power loss of available signal to all received signals :

$$P_{CCF}[dB] = \max_{\substack{over \\ all \varepsilon}} (20 \cdot \log_{10} (|CCF(\varepsilon)|))$$



Definition: The relative change of signal elements in the timeline.

Code and carrier Relative jitter value between ranging **Coherence :** code and carrier wave in the same signal branch.

Codes Coherence: Relative jitter value of time delay between ranging code and carrier wave; relative jitter value of ranging codes in different signal branch.

Detection : Monitor the navigation signal.

Calculation: Coherence between code and carrier & Coherence in ranging codes

Calculate method:

Phase

oherenc

(Continued)

Code and carrier Coherence:

In interval of [t,t+T], use carrier wave limited in L_j and L_k as radiation of code carrier wave in L_i frequency:

 $CCD_{L_{j,Lk}}^{L_{i}}(t,t+T) = PR_{L_{i}}(t+T) - PR_{L_{i}}(t) - [CR_{L_{i}}(t+T) - CR_{L_{i}}(t)]$

 $-2\left(\frac{f_{L1}}{f_{Li}}\right)^2 \Delta I_{Lj,Lk}(t,t+T)$

 $\triangle I_{Lj,Lk}(t,t+T)$ denotes the ionospheric delay differences in L_1 frequency on interval [t,t+T], these differences calculated from D-value of L_j and L_k frequency amplitude. If $\text{CCD}^{Li}_{Lj,Lk}(t,t+T)$ neets:

 $100 \le T \le 7200, t_1 \le t \le t_2$ -T, $CCD^{Li}_{Lj,Lk}(t,t+T) > 6.1 \text{ m}$

Thus, code and carrier wave are consistence at t+T.





- **Definition:** GNSS precise orbit calculated based on same monitor station, same orbit determination algorithm, same space-time reference.
- Detection :Utilizeobservationvalueofmulti-modereceiver and preciseorbitalgorithmtocalculateGNSS precise orbit.
- **Calculation:** a) Detect the coarse error of observation value and cycle slip;
 - b) Using the processed data to the precision of satellite orbit, station location and ERP parameter estimation;
 - c) Obtained by compare to the correction information of broadcast ephemeris orbit .

Clock offset

Definition: Calculate GNSS precise clock error based on same monitor station, same orbit determination algorithm, same space-time reference.

Detection : Utilize Observation data of Laser, radio, dualfrequency carrier wave and precise clock error algorithm to get precise clock error of GNSS.

Calculation:

Clock

offset

(Continued)

- a) Detect the coarse difference and cycle slip of the observation data;
- b) Take real-time precise satellite orbit, the position of observation station, and EPR parameters as known parameters to real-time precise satellite clock error processor;
- c) Use preprocessed real-time observation data by means of Square Root Filter to evaluate clock error;
- d) Compare the evaluated clock error with the broadcasted clock error, and then get amended clock error information.

19

Ionos phere

Definition: Total electron content of global ionospheric grid based on the calculation of dual-frequency observations.

Detection : By monitoring of ionospheric grid model.

Calculation: a) Total electron content (TEC) in the path from monitoring station to satellite:

$$\tilde{P}_{1,i}^{k} - \tilde{P}_{2,i}^{k} = (1 - \xi) \frac{40.28 \cdot TEC}{f_{1}^{2}} + \Delta b^{k} + \Delta b_{i}$$

b) Work out total electron content of global ionospheric grid by geomagnetic model:

$$TEC(\phi,\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{d\max}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \tilde{P}_{nm}(\sin\varphi) \cdot \left(\tilde{A}_{nm}\cos(m\lambda) + \tilde{B}_{nm}\sin(m\lambda)\right)$$



GNSS coordina	Differences between coordinate reference frame and ITRF.
te bias Detection :	Measure the coordinate of given points in different coordinate reference frame, then calculate their difference
Calculation :	$(X_n, Y_n, Z_n)^T$: the coordinate of P_n in frame <i>i</i> , $(X_n, Y_n, Z_n)^T$: the coordinate of P_n in ITRF; then (using Bursa model):
$\Delta P_{n,(\text{ITRF},i)} = \begin{bmatrix} X_n \\ Y_n \\ Z_n \end{bmatrix}_{\text{ITRF}} -$	$\begin{bmatrix} X_n \\ Y_n \\ Z_n \end{bmatrix}_i = \begin{bmatrix} dX_n \\ dY_n \\ dZ_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} dm & \varepsilon_3 & -\varepsilon_2 \\ -\varepsilon_3 & dm & \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 & -\varepsilon_3 & dm \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}_i$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{ITRF},i} = E\big(\Delta P_{(\mathrm{ITRF},i)}\big)$	$_{0}) \pm k \times \sqrt{D\left(P_{(\text{ITRF},i)}\right)^{2}}, k = 1, 2, 3$



$$TGD(f_{i}, f_{j}) = \frac{PR(f_{i}) - PR(f_{j})}{1 - (f_{i}/f_{j})^{2}}$$

Where, f_i and f_j are carrier wave frequency of two GNSS signals, $PR(f_i)$ and $PR(f_j)$ are the corresponded signal group time delay.



GNSS Interoperability

Two forms can represent the parameters:

The precision data point the assessment result. The tolerance data point the difference between the monitoring result and the assessment result.





Form of interoperable parameters

Comparison of the two forms:

	Advantages	Disadvantages
Precision data		Need high data rate
	Less computational complexity of user receiver	Increased the time of receiving complete information
	Less computational complexity of the third monitoring station	The system will be useless when the parameters of the third party is unavailable
Tolerance data	Low requirements of data rate	
	Receive complete information in short time	Additional process at user receiver
	Can still use original GNSS to realize PVT when the parameters of the third party are unavailable	Increased the amount of computation in the third monitoring station





Conclusion

Other issues about GNSS interoperability:

DOP amelioration of multi-GNSS	The third frequency for interoperability		
DOP saturation value	Frequency diversity		
Utilize existing or planned spare capacity in civil/open service navigation messages to increase multi-GNSS interoperability	Definition, model and calculation of interoperable parameters		
Utilize existing or planned spare capacity SBAS navigation messages in order to increase multi-GNSS interoperability	Monitoring method in interoperable parameters (include system time difference monitoring)		
Patent of MBOC signal	System time difference monitoring		
Receive multi-system observation data	interoperable parameters broadcasting		
Technology on receiving interoperable signal and receiver	Correction model of ionosphere and atmospheric delay in multi-GNSS		
interoperable parameter model and algorithm taken by users	Correction model of solar radiation pressure in multi-GNSS		
Data type consistency and transferability	Other methods to enhance interoperability		

Conclusion

WG-B and WG-D have paying more attention to overlaps between their work and in-depth interoperability research. **Overlaps between Interoperability & WG-B**

nteroperability

WG-B

A platform is required to attracts more academic, experts and industry specialists to research on interoperability and to provide better services for users.

Overlap's between Interoperability & WG-D

WG-D

Conclusion

Under this platform, we can discuss the following topics :

Interoperable signal

interoperable parameter

Interoperability in user level

Methods to enhance interoperability

Interoperable algorithm

Thank You for Your Attention!

Prof. LU Xiaochun

Luxc@ntsc.ac.cn