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Grid Based Ionospheric Corrections

- NavIC single frequency users can operate either on L5 or S frequencies
- Ionosphere: Dominant over Indian region & hence impact the single frequency Rx accuracies (L5)
- New Feature: Grid Based Corrections to provide comparable accuracy for single frequency L5 users
- Currently, servicing 90 grids points over Indian region and broadcasted every 5 min.

Co-efficient (Klobuchar like) Based Ionosphere Corrections

- 8 coefficients ($\alpha_n, \beta_n; n = 0$ to $3$), are provided in sub-frame 4 of the Navigation data.
- $\alpha_n$ are the coefficients of a cubic equation representing the amplitude of the vertical delay
- $\beta_n$ are the coefficients of a cubic equation representing the period of the model
- Co-efficients are generated and uplink once a using TEC derived from 16 reference stations (IRIMS)
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Ionosphere coefficients for NavIC (NeQuick-N)

Objective:
To explore the use of NeQuick based ionosphere model for NavIC single frequency users over low latitude region (NeQuick-N)

Approach:
- Generation of broadcast ionosphere coefficients
  - Different statistical estimation methods
- Performance assessment with NavIC measurements over Indian Land mass
  - Performance assessment in estimation period
  - Performance assessment in prediction period
- Modification in NeQuick Model parameters
- Comparison with GIM for NavIC Primary service area
- Comparison the performance of the NavIC and Galileo broadcast parameter wrt GIM over NavIC service area
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Generation of broadcast ionosphere coefficient

Base Model:
- NeQuick (a semi-empirical model)
- Input: Time, Month, user receiver position, Satellite position, Solar radio flux (SF)
- Output: Total Electron Content along the line of sight

Methods:
Estimation of ionization parameter with NavIC measurements using:
- MRMS - Minimum Root Mean Square (Estimation of SF, ESF)
- BWLQ - Weighted Batch Least Square (in terms of 3 coefficients $a_0, a_1, a_2$)
Slant delay variation over NavIC service area

Current NavIC reference receiver ‘s location

Typical time variation of slant delay

Ionosphere delay at L5 (meters)
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Comparison with GIM (Global Ionosphere Model) data

Modified coefficients of foF2 of NeQuick-2 model using NavIC data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Day No.</th>
<th>OrgNeESF</th>
<th>ModNeESF</th>
<th>Klob</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>75.02</td>
<td>76.88</td>
<td>61.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>62.63</td>
<td>72.63</td>
<td>52.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>70.17</td>
<td>78.01</td>
<td>55.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>78.02</td>
<td>76.78</td>
<td>62.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>75.34</td>
<td>76.88</td>
<td>56.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>67.58</td>
<td>74.97</td>
<td>51.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>76.79</td>
<td>77.75</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>73.19</td>
<td>76.96</td>
<td>59.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>80.46</td>
<td>84.87</td>
<td>60.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>79.65</td>
<td>77.67</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>78.64</td>
<td>81.45</td>
<td>65.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NavIC coefficients vs Galileo coefficients (NeQuick-N vs NeQuick-G)

Day number : 148, 2022

➢ NeQuick-N captures the ionosphere equatorial anomaly in better way than NeQuick-G specially below equator with the same user algorithm. It might be due to denser network of NavIC reference stations than Galileo over low latitude region.
Nequick Model for NavIC (NeQuick-N)

- NavIC data ingested to NeQuick for better performance over NavIC service area
- Different statistical methods used to estimated broadcast ionosphere coefficients and few parameters of the base model were modified.
- The overall performance of BWLQ method is better than the MRMS
- However, the base model is not able to capture the shape of the ionosphere peak during noon time in both the methods.
- NeQuick-N performs better than NeQuick-G over NavIC service area.
- Further improvement in NeQuick model by ingesting more ionosphere data using different sources over NavIC service area is planned.
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