UN/Austria/ESA Symposium on "Water for the World: Space Solutions for Water Management" (Graz, Austria, 13-16 September 2004)

## Working Group on the development of a pilot project

#### SUMMARY OF DISCUSSIONS

### 1. Title and objectives

**Title:** "Space technology in support to water resources management for poverty alleviation"

## **Objectives:**

- Capacity-building;
- Protection of environment;
- Sustainable development

#### 2. Timeframe

- Following the preparatory phase, the following framework is suggested for a pilot project:
  - 3 years: Operation: development and implementation, including monitoring and evaluation as well as sharing of experience;
    - Baseline review
    - Mid-term review
    - Impact assessment review
  - 1 year: Preparation for sustainable operations: (starting already after the mid-term review).

## 3. Donor partners

- Donor partners could include, but not limited to, the following entities:
  - i. Committee on Earth Observations Satellites (CEOS) members and affiliates
  - ii. Entities of the United Nations system
  - iii. World Bank
  - iv. Professional associations and scientific associations, such as ICSU
  - v. Regional banks
  - vi. Private sector
  - vii. ODA
  - viii. Other regional commissions (other than those UN economic commissions)
  - ix. Water-related organizations

## 4. Partnership

- Promoting the broad participation of non-governmental organizations, universities and research institutions, such as those with data processing capability, and grass-roots partners is critical;
  - Examples of ISRO project, involving local personnel; grass-roots partners could make commitment by providing in-kind support, i.e. manpower, data collection, etc.
- For the region of Africa, it is desirable to build upon existing regional partner networks, such as African Network of Basin Organizations (ANBO) and regional commissions such as ECOWAS;
- Involvement of women organizations is also important, in view of the essential role that women play in development.
- Donor community
- Space community

## 5. Recipient commitments

- Relevant government institutions (cost sharing)
  - National/federal governments
  - Regional/local authorities
- Intergovernmental organizations
- Non-governmental organizations,
- Community-based organizations
- Academia
- Consideration of project results to make decisions on possible implementation of the project output.

## **6.** Institutional development/conditionality (pre-conditions)

- There should be a coordinated mechanism to ensure the collection of, access to and distribution at all levels (local to national, etc.) of appropriate information, building upon existing partner networks.
  - TIGER Initiative could provide an important framework for such coordination mechanism at least for its initial phase due to its openness and flexibility in information sharing.
- "Transparency" is a key; "space-derived" information provides such "transparency" (no administrative boundary from space)
- A possible approach could be to have an institution to manage information required at international level;
  - River basin authorities could be a model for such institution.
  - Efforts should be made to aim at confidence-building through shared information management.
- The information to be managed should encompass policy, social and technical aspects; at the initial point,
  - Information to be shared internationally should be of general nature.

- There is a need to determine the recipients of information.
- Recommendations on policy issues related to space technology utilization should be part of the project output.

#### 7. Infrastructures, technical facilities, equipment

- Validation and calibration of space-based data is essential.
- *In-situ* measurement network is fundamental.
- Use of existing ground facilities and receiving stations and their upgrading should be optimized.
- There is a need to consider the entire processing chain.
- Acquisition of hardware/software & equipment and their maintenance has to be considered.
- Considerations should be given to a proper trade-off between built-in, customized processing systems and commercially available systems having in mind the goal of sustainable operations (maintenance cost for commercial system should be taken into account).
- Maintenance of equipment is a critical issue; development of local capability in this context should be emphasized.
- Local environmental conditions should be considered by manufacturers.

## 8. Functional scope

- The minimum level of technical functionality (processing, measurement and evaluation) among all participants of the projects (e.g. all participating basins) should be guaranteed.
- The project should be implemented at basin level.
- Different information levels for decision makers should be addressed.
- Pursuance of "bottom-up" approach, ensuring the participation of all stakeholders at local level.

## 9. Capacity-building

- Capacity-building is essential to ensure the sustainability and autonomy.
- It is necessary to conduct a survey on what kind of education, training and capacity-building is required for which type of audience (e.g. decision-makers, programme managers, technicians, local communities (e.g. farmers associations), women and young generations, etc.).
- Some areas of capacity-building include:
  - equipment management and maintenance;
  - data collection and analysis;
  - infrastructure management;
  - increasing awareness of decision-makers.
- Training for trainers is important.
- Institutional capacity-building is important (versus individual capacity-building).

- There is a need for capacity-building of river basin authorities on modeling and remote sensing.
- Promotion and strengthening of partner network is important.

#### 10. Resources

- Proper budgeting is a key for the success of the project and should cover all
  elements such as cost of procurement of space data and equipment, training and
  capacity building cost.
- Contributions from donor organizations, such as development agencies, regional commissions, regional banks, and private sector, are essential.
- Counterpart contribution and commitment are pillars for sustainability.

#### 11. Criteria for study area selection

- Transboundary basins should be given priority.
- Basin authority should exist.
- Well-documented needs assessment should be available.
- *In-situ* measurement network should exist.
- Capacity in space technologies should exist.
- Non-governmental organizations should exist.
- Socio-economic impact should be considered.
- Existing related initiatives should be considered.

# 12. Sharing the experience and outreach (increasing awareness of the general public)

 Each project should aim at increasing awareness of the general public and policy-makers on importance of water resources management and usefulness of space-derived data and information for decision-making including by stimulating the awareness of the media and the press.

## THE WAY AHEAD: MILESTONES

| Target                    | Action  |
|---------------------------|---|
| [End October 2004]        | OOSA to revise the document and distribute to WG members  |
| [Beginning November 2004] | WG members to review and provide comments on the revised document [within 1 week]   |
| [Mid November 2004]       | OOSA to revise the document and distribute to the Symposium participants  |
| [Beginning December 2004] | Symposium participants to review and provide comments on the revised document [within 3 weeks]  |
| [December 2004]           | OOSA to finalize the document [and distribute the finalized documents to XXX]   |
| [December 2004]           | OOSA to set up a small on-line "Graz Proposal Committee"  |
| [December 2004]           | OOSA/Graz Proposal Committee to prepare a letter to potential donors, to solicit interest for this project exercise and call for an initial statement of intention to support it. |
| [December 2004]           | OOSA/Graz Proposal Committee to prepare a letter to space agencies to solicit interest for this project exercise and call for an initial statement support it                     |
| [End December 2004]       | OOSA to send the letters, accompanied by the project document, to potential donors and to space agencies.   |
| [January-February 2005]   | OOSA/Graz Committee to contact potential donors and space agencies to check their intention   |
| [March 2005]              | OOSA to issue a "Call for Proposals" to XXX, asking for a Letter of Intent by end May 2005  |
| April 2005                | OOSA to enlarge the "Graz Proposal Committee" as an Advisory Group to those entities that wish to respond to the Call   |
| May 2005                  | OOSA to set up a "Graz Proposal Evaluation Committee"   |
| June 2005                 | OOSA to report to the UN Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (COPUOS) on the "Graz exercise" and on the status of the "Call for Proposals"                              |
| September 2005            | Graz Evaluation Committee to start evaluation work  |

| Target           | Action  |
|------------------|---|
|                  |   |
| Mid-October 2005 | "Graz Evaluation Committee" to report to OOSA on the evaluation results |