

Centre of Space Techniques

Space Geodesy Department



Ionospheric models comparison of single-frequency GPS positioning in Algeria

TABTI Lahouaria & KAHLOUCHE Salem, BENKREIRA Hanaa and LAHLOU Idris

United Nations/Azerbaijan Workshop on the International Space Weather Initiative: The Sun, Space Weather and Geosphere, 31 October – 4 November 2022 Baku, Azerbaijan

Outline

Introduction and Objectives

Ionospheric models (single frequency)

Data used

Results analysis

- Ionex
- Klobuchar (GPS)
- Kbds (Beidou)
- NeQuick (Galileo)
- EGNOS

Summary and Conclusions

Introduction (GNSS)

GNSS (GPS, GLONASS, GALILEO, BDS) :

Positioning (position, velocity, acceleration) in a general terrestrial reference system.

GNSS applications

- Geodesy : National network, Cadastral Network, Urban Networks, ...
- Industrial Risks : Auscultation of Dams, Bridge, Tanks Storage of GNL.....
- Public Works : *Roads, Rail Infrastructure.* ...
- Scientific applications : Crustal Deformations, Tectonic Movements, Atmospheric Modelling , Climate Change
- Positioning and navigation in real time (Position, Velocity, ..): Terrestrial, Maritime, Aviation,....
- Space weather : Ionospheric disturbances,......







Introduction (GNSS)

□ Single Point Positioning (SPP) provides an autonomous position of a receiver using code data from Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS).

□ The main problem in SPP (RT : real time) comes from various errors degrading the global positioning system (GPS) signal.

$$l_{mes} = \rho + c(dt_r - dt_s) + Ion + Trop + Rel + RDCB_L + SDCB_L + \varepsilon$$



→ Ionospheric delay contributes to the general GPS error budget in the range of 40–60 m during daytime and 6–12 m at night.

 \rightarrow To calculate and reduce this effect on the GPS code measurements, different models are used in RT.

Work context



Work context

- □ Space weather can cause strong sudden disturbances in the Earth's ionosphere which can degrade the performance and reliability of GNSS ;
- □ To minimize these degradations, ionospheric effects need to be precisely and timely corrected by providing information of the spatially and temporally variable Total Electron Content (TEC).
- □ TEC is the number of electrons in a column of a cross section per square meter along a trans-ionospheric path :

$$Ion = \frac{40.3}{f^2} \int N_e dl = \frac{40.3}{f^2} TEC$$

Main characteristics of the lonosphere:

- Electron density profile
- TEC variability
- Solar Cycle
- Solar storms
- Equatorial anomalies and scintillations



IGS network



IGS network is a global network of 513 permanent and continuously operating stations of geodetic quality

Data used

Real data collected by Trimble NetR9 dual-frequency receivers at five sites in Algeria :





Effects of the ionospheric models on <u>SPP</u> accuracy in RT

The SPP positions are performed using different ionospheric models (Klobuchar, KBDS, NeQuick, SBAS and IONEX).

Ionospheric corrections comparison of different models



- Corrections based on VTEC values from IONEX files range from 3.5 to 12.5 m.
- KBDS ionospheric delays are about 4.0 m,
- Klobuchar are between 2.5 m and 11 m,
- The ionospheric correction with NeQuick varies between 3.5 and 18.5 m

Evaluation of ionospheric corrections

Ionospheric corrections for all GPS satellites obtained from the Klobuchar, KBDS, NeQuick models and the grid ionospheric corrections broadcast by EGNOS.



□ El Goléa → Klobuchar, NeQuick and EGNOS→ ionospheric correction values are between 2 m to 5 m, and can reach 18 m (for satellites close to the horizon),

 \Box Djanet (EGNOS) \rightarrow Ionospheric correction values are not continuous.

Evaluation of ionospheric corrections (Djanet case)



Grid of ionospheric corrections transmitted by EGNOS

Accuracy analysis: Position error (95%), 3D error and RMS (in m)

	Sites	HPE(95%)	VPE(95%)	Error 3D _{max}	Error 3D _{mean}	$\sigma_{ m 3DError}$	3D RMS	Ń
Klobuchar model								
	Oran	2.21	4.18	9.59	1.43	1.48	3.01	
- The electronic content is	El Goléa	3.62	4.68	15.81	2.24	1.14	2.79	
concentrated in a thin layer at	Tindouf	4.46	4.93	6.89	2.99	1.15	3.21	
350 kilometers altitude.	Djanet	3.46	5.30	7.93	2.82	1.11	3.03	
	In Guezzam	2.41	4.87	7.25	1.35	1.47	3.11	/
								•

Sites	HPE(95%)	VPE(95%)	Error 3D _{max}	Error 3D _{mean}	$\sigma_{\rm 3DError}$	3D RMS
Oran	2.56	4.07	11.51	1.24	1.42	2.78
El Goléa	4.25	4.58	15.23	3.22	1.48	3.16
Tindouf	4.97	4.11	8.40	3.73	1.37	3.53
Djanet	3.91	4.40	8.19	2.84	1.28	3.12
In Guezzam	2.84	4.65	6.97	1.48	1.59	2.96

KBDS model

- The layer of maximum electronic density of the ionosphere, fixed at 375km),

NeQuick model

- The model uses five predefined regions,
- The NeQuick model calculates correction using daily solar activity information,

Sites	HPE(95%)	VPE(95%)	Error 3D _{max}	Error 3D _{mean}	$\sigma_{ m 3DError}$	3D RMS
Oran	2.09	4.05	10.34	1.21	1.35	2.63
El Golea	2.27	4.35	17.10	2.31	1.22	2.61
Tindouf	2.59	4.29	6.60	2.41	1.16	2.68
Djanet	3.21	4.77	7.14	2.76	1.36	3.09
In Guezzam	3.53	5.05	7.52	1.57	1.75	3.36

Ionospheric delay with EGNOS

Sites	HPE(95%)	VPE(95%)	Error 3D _{max}	Error3D _{mean}	$\sigma_{ m 3DError}$	3D RMS
Oran	1.72	2.68	7.36	1.54	0.76	1.72
El Goléa	3.24	3.15	40.31	1.97	2.14	2.95
Tindouf	2.06	3.48	6.31	1.82	0.94	2.07
Djanet	28.92	9.90	>50	9.35	45.27	48.96
In Guezzam	8.68	106	>50	14.37	34.65	37.67

□ For Djanet and In Guezzam sites, the accuracies are higher than 34.65 m.

□ The ionospheric grid transmitted by EGNOS gives more accurate results for latitudes above 27° compared to the three models.

Sites	IGP	Ionospheric delay (m)	
Oran	9	1.22	
El Goléa	10 6	13.86 1.20	apo
Tindouf	13 14	1.53 5.60	Latit
Djanet	3 7 4	> 20 m > 20 m > 20 m	
In Guezzam	8 4	> 20 m > 20 m	



Current EGNOS network of 39 RIMS stations

The ionospheric correction of each IGP is calculated from the current EGNOS network.



Unavailability of RIMS station in Algeria

3D error for each models



3D error (with zoom) in position errors from 12:00 to 14:00

Results summary

or (m)

ĒŢ

Rs

Ľ

30

Sigma



Positioning accuracy results using IONEX are better than the 4 other models :

- Except for Oran (grid transmitted by EGNOS), the improvement is 0,76 m, although for IONEX this value = to 1.48 m;
- While for Djanet and In Guezzam, the **accuracy** using 3 models allows to obtain better results than EGNOS corrections (**o** exceeds 30 m);
- EGNOS corrections depend on geographical location.



Conclusion

IONEX model, in absolute mode, gives better results for the five sites at different latitudes and longitudes. This model is based on data collected from reference stations around the world, whose coordinates are precisely predetermined.

□ The results show that accuracy is quasi similar using the 3 other models (Klobuchar, KBDS and NeQuick). This accuracy varies between 0.85 m and 1.75 m.

Assessment of accuracy, by considering the ionospheric corrections transmitted by EGNOS, are interesting in case of single-frequency receivers, this improvement is limited by the distance from the service area.

- The accuracy is less than 0.94 m for Oran and Tindouf.
- While the maximum deviation reached 45.27 m, for the southern region of Algeria (Djanet and In Guezzam),
- Ionospheric delay is not perfectly modeled.
- Both sites are far from the RIMS station network.

Perspectives

The comparison of accuracy was carried out in February 2020; the obtained results are very satisfactory and require other perspectives:

- It would be interesting to apply ionospheric models on different periods of the year by considering the different seasons;
- in case of data availability (permanent stations), extend this study over several years by taking into account the solar activity.