

Space Traffic Management Towards a roadmap for implementation

The 2018 IAA study on STM

Chair: Kai-Uwe Schrogl, Germany (ESA) Co-Chair: Corinne Jorgenson, U.S. (Advancing Space) Co-Secretary: Jana Robinson, Czech Republic (EEAS) Co-Secretary: Alexander Soucek, Austria (ESA)

UNOOSA Space Law Conference 10 December 2020 Kai-Uwe Schrogl



2016:

Agenda item in the Legal Subcommittee of UNCOPUOS on Space Traffic Management:

Provides the opportunity for multilateral, equitable and inclusive deliberations and negotiations A/AC.105/C.2/2015/CRP.13

14 April 2015 English only

Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space Legal Subcommittee Fifty-fourth session Vienna, 13-24 April 2015 Item 13: Proposals to the Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space for new items to be considered by the Legal Subcommittee at its fifty-fifth session*

> Proposal for a Single Issue/Item for discussion at the fifty-fifth session of the Legal Subcommittee in 2016 on: "Exchange of views on the concept of Space Traffic Management"

Submission by Germany

At the current session of Legal Subcommittee, the issue of Space Traffic Management (STM) was the theme of the IISL/ECSL Symposium, which took place on 13 April 2015. The presentations provided an excellent overview on the current state of academic research as well as elements of STM already implemented. It also highlighted various aspects of legal concern and provided ideas for further investigations on this issue.

Following this, the German delegation suggests to provide another opportunity for the delegations to exchange their views on the issue of STM and its potential relevance for the work of the Legal Subcommittee. It therefore proposes to inscribe a single issue item on "Exchange of views on the concept of Space Traffic Management" at the 2016 session of the Legal Subcommittee.

The purpose of such a single issue item would be to reflect on the concept of STM, on what it entails and on what consequences it would have for the organization and governance of space activities. In particular the contribution of STM to the safety of space operations benefitting all users of outer space (whether they are established users or recent and future users) could be investigated. The item would also provide the opportunity to discuss the status of academic research in that field and to

* A/AC.105/C.2/L.295.

V.15-02249 (E)

Please recycle



Recent diplomatic initiatives ...

... which could be precursors, or which contain elements of STM:

- Three initiatives on the governmental level relevant for STM:
 - The Long-Term Sustainability of Outer Space Activities Working Group in the Scientific and Technical Subcommittee of the UNCOPUOS, set up in 2010, which presented its results in 2019.
 - The Governmental Group of Experts (GGE) on Outer Space Transparency and Confidence Building Measures (TCBM) established by the Secretary general of the UN in 2010, which presented its results in 2013.
 - The Draft International Code of Conduct for Outer Space Activities tabled by the EU in 2007, now suspended.
 - \Rightarrow How to deal with/possibly merge these activities?
 - \Rightarrow STM can provide a perspective.



Pre-conditions for negotiating/implementing Space Traffic Manangement

- Large increase of transfer into outer space, activities in outer space and return from outer space to Earth.
- Accidents (involving active objects as well as space debris) when launching into outer space, when operating in outer space and when re-entering to Earth (also involving humans in outer space or airplanes in flight).
- Larger variety of activities, which are otherwise uncoordinated (platforms in between airspace and outer space, space tourism, assembly in outer space for example for energy).
- Large increase in active manoeuvering in orbit.
- If issues of of sovereignty arise (e.g. if property rights on orbit slots or frequencies are requested/established).



Current space law is characterized by:

- Primary focus on States as actors in outer space.
- No sufficient and effective mechanisms for non-governmental, private actors.
- Extremely slow development of law-making in UNCOPUOS.
- Law-making competition by other international organizations (ITU,WTO).
- Soft law instead of binding international law (space debris through IADC, Earth observation through CEOS).





Space Traffic Management (STM) is characterized through a new conceptual approach

- Not a "piecemeal engineering" of existing space law but "big bang" as in the law of the sea (includes the transfer of all current legal provisions/treaties and regulations into one coherent and comprehensive text).
- Completely new approach based on functionality.
- New interacting levels and forms of regulation.
- New organizations for implementation.





Definition of "Space Traffic Management"

"...the set of technical and regulatory provisions for promoting safe access into outer space, operations in outer space and return from outer space to Earth free from physical or radiofrequency interference."

(following the study by the International Academy of Astronautics, IAA Paris 2006, eds. Contant-Jorgenson/Lala/Schrogl)





Elements of a STM regime

- Two dimensions of space traffic:

- Scientific-technical area
- Regulatory field
- Three phases of space traffic:
 - Launch phase
 - In-orbit operation phase
 - Re-entry phase







Securing the information needs (Space Situational Awareness)

- Definition of the necessary data
- Rules for the data provision
- Rules for the data management
- Rules for an information service on space weather

Notification system

- Parameters for the notification of launches and the operation of space objects
- Rules for the notification of orbital manoeuvres
- Rules for possible encounters
- Rules for the notification of re-entry
- Provisions for the end-of-lifetime of space objects

Space object properties

- Design characteristics (materials, design-for-demise, avoidance of electromagnetic interference, green technologies)



Traffic rules

- Safety provisions for launches
- Specific regime for space between airspace and outer space
- Zoning (selection of orbits)
- Right of way rules for in-orbit phases
- Priorization with regard to manoeuvres
- Specurity rules for human spaceflight
- Specific rules for GSO, LG Points, Polar Orbits
- Specific rules for LEO satellite constellations
- Debris mitigation regulations
- Saftey rules for re-entry (i.a. descent corridors)
- Environmental provisions (e.g. pollution of the atmosphere/troposphere)
- Radiofrequency use and avoidance of interference





Mechanisms for implementation and control

- Harmonized national licensing mechanisms.
- Enfrocement and arbitration mechanisms (i.a. policing in outer space; e.g. renouncement of access to information or frequencies).
- Operative oversight.
- Clearly defined civilian-military coordination and cooperation.





STM: incremental bottom-up approach

- co-existence of regulatory instruments of different nature and purpose may constitute the building-blocks of STM emerging from single fields of regulation
- allows individual solutions at domestic level
- flexibility to tackle key issues in a comparatively timely manner, but at the risk of fragmentation

Topics identified in the study: 5.3.1.1 SSA 5.3.1.2 Private human spaceflight 5.3.1.3 Debris mitigation and remediation 5.3.1.4 Development of standards for space safety 5.3.1.5 Traffic rules 5.3.1.6 Practices for the management of space resources 5.3.1.7 National space legislation 5.3.1.8 Organisational aspects



STM: top-down approach

 creating a comprehensive and inclusive STM regime: legal norms (evolvement of existing space law) + institutional management

"ITU approach" as a possible model: Level 1: **Outer Space Convention (OSC)** ... comparable to the ITU Constitution and Convention... rarely updated Level 2: **Outer Space Traffic Rules (OSTR)** ... comparable to the ITU Administrative Regulations... reviewed and updated regularly Level 3: **Outer Space Traffic Technical Standards (OSTTS)** ... comparable to the ITU Standards... reviewed and updated regularly with the involvement of also non-governmental stakeholders

Step	Activity	Years	Date
1	Multi-year Workplan on STM in UNCOPUOS LSC to	4 (2019 plus 4)	2023
	prepare mandate for a State conference open to all States		
	Parties to the five treaties plus all other MS of the UN to		
	negotiate an STM regime		
2	Plenipotentiary conference	7 (2019 plus 11)	2030
3	Open for signature	1 (2019 plus 12)	2031
4	Ratifications/Entry into force	3 (2019 plus 15)	2034



From the foreword by Peter Tomka (Judge and former President of the International Court of Justice)

"By analysing the underlying developments in the space environment, the technical prerequisites for the implementation of the legal regime, and its key regulatory elements, the present study can be considered as a leading work for reference purposes, as well as a good basis for further discussion.

(...)

Realizing the potential of this timely and ambitious study could be a significant step forward. Its authors are to be congratulated on providing a number of suggestions and alternative approaches on how to tackle the challenges of the future for the benefit of all."



Management

Chair: Kai-Uwe Schrogl, Germany (ESA) Co-Chair: Corinne Jorgenson, U.S. (Advancing Space) Co-Secretary: Jana Robinson, Czech Republic (PSSI) Co-Secretary: Alexander Soucek, Austria (ESA)

Contributors

Didier Alary, France (Airbus Defence and Space) Christina Giannopapa, Greece (Technical University of Eindhoven) Niklas Hedman, Sweden (UNOOSA) Ken Hodgkins, U.S. (Department of State) Shouping Li, China (Beijing Institute of Technology) K.R.S. Murthi, India (Jain University, Bangalore) Peter Stubbe, Germany (DLR) Kazuto Suzuki, Japan (University of Hokkaido) Olga Volynskaya, Russian Federation (Roscosmos) Guoyu Wang, China (Beijing Institute of Technology/Chatham House)

Advisors

William H. Ailor, U.S. (The Aerospace Corporation) Jimena Blumenkron, Mexico (ICAO) Michel Brafman, France (La Reunion Spatiale/AAE) Tare Brisibe, Nigeria **Richard Crowther, UK (UKSA)** Stephen Earle, U.S. (FAA) Michael Gerhard, Germany (EASA) Yasuaki Hashimoto, Japan (NIDS) Yvon Henri, France (ITU) Diane Howard, U.S. (Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University) José Monserrat Filho, Brazil Azzedine Oussedik, Algeria (ASAL) Rainer Sandau, Germany (IAA) Jan Schmidt, Germany (Swiss Re) Bernhard Schmidt-Tedd, Germany (DLR) Tommaso Sgobba, Italy (IAASS) Brian Weeden, U.S. (SWF)