ESA/ATG medialab; background: ESO/S. Brunier

# GAIA and the epistemology of astrophysics

#### Dr. Sibylle Anderl Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique de Grenoble

COSPAR Symposium - Measuring the Universe 2<sup>nd</sup> February 2015, Vienna



### Philosophy and Science - The Old Days



# Philosophy and Science - Today



"How can we understand the world in which we find ourselves? How does the universe behave? (...) Traditionally these are questions for philosophy, but philosophy is dead.

Stephen Hawking 2010

Bruce F Press, CC BY 3.0

```
When a scientist encounters someone inclined to
think philosophically, his response should be to
say, "I'm moving on, I'm leaving you behind, and
you can't even cross the street because you're
distracted by deep questions you've asked of
yourself. I don't have time for that."
```

Neil deGrasse Tyson 2014

Is today's science not in need of philosophical reflection anymore?

Is today's science not in need of philosophical reflection anymore?

The case of astrophysics...





- Conditions that are more extreme than anything that can artificially be generated in a terrestrial laboratory.
- Large range of temperatures, pressures, spatial scales and time scales.

NASA

- Very complex systems.
- Astrophysicists are "passive" observers and cannot interact with their objects of research.



ESO

"The technology of astronomy and astrophysics has changed radically since the ancient times, but its method remains exactly the same. Observe the heavenly bodies. Construct models of the (macro)cosmos. In contrast: the methods of the natural sciences have undergone a profound transformation, chiefly in the seventeenth century. Or one might say: the natural sciences came into being then and thereafter, while astronomy is not a natural science at all."

Ian Hacking 1989

# Philosophy of Science

December, 1989

EXTRAGALACTIC REALITY: THE CASE OF GRAVITATIONAL LENSING\*

IAN HACKING<sup>†</sup>

Institute for the History and Philosophy of Science and Technology University of Toronto







Series of controlled experiments:

#### Series of controlled experiments:

 Based on a hypothesis from which a test condition C is inferred together with a prediction what should happen if C is realised and the hypothesis is true.

#### Series of controlled experiments:

- Based on a hypothesis from which a test condition C is inferred together with a prediction what should happen if C is realised and the hypothesis is true.
- Check for false negatives / false positives.

#### Series of controlled experiments:

- Based on a hypothesis from which a test condition C is inferred together with a prediction what should happen if C is realised and the hypothesis is true.
- Check for false negatives / false positives.
- Counterfactual inference: The hypothetical causal relationship between C and the predicted effect should not have also occurred in an identical experimental setup with the test condition not being realised (randomized controlled experiments).

# The Astrophysical Method

# "The Sherlock Holmes Method""The Cosmic Laboratory"





Rumensz CC0 1.0

Understanding of singular instances of objects or a specific process:

- What do the observations tell us about the physics and chemistry at work in the observed region?
- Similar question as in the so called historical sciences: palaeontology, archaeology, aspects of climate research.

Understanding of singular instances of objects or a specific process:

- What do the observations tell us about the physics and chemistry at work in the observed region?
- Similar question as in the so called historical sciences: palaeontology, archaeology, aspects of climate research.



Understanding of singular instances of objects or a specific process:

- What do the observations tell us about the physics and chemistry at work in the observed region?
- Similar question as in the so called historical sciences: palaeontology, archaeology, aspects of climate research.







Understanding of singular instances of objects or a specific process:

- What do the observations tell us about the physics and chemistry at work in the observed region?
- Similar question as in the so called historical sciences: palaeontology, archaeology, aspects of climate research.





iridium layer



 Start from a situation that would be the experimental outcome / traces of past causes.

Start from a situation that would be the experimental outcome / traces of past causes.

•

•

Hypothesize a common, local cause for these traces and thereby to unify them under one, self-consistent, causal story.

Start from a situation that would be the experimental outcome / traces of past causes.

٠

٠

- Hypothesize a common, local cause for these traces and thereby to unify them under one, self-consistent, causal story.
- "Smoking gun situations": Traces that are able to discriminate between competing hypotheses, which distinguish one hypothesis as currently being the best explanation.

Start from a situation that would be the experimental outcome / traces of past causes.

٠

- Hypothesize a common, local cause for these traces and thereby to unify them under one, self-consistent, causal story.
- "Smoking gun situations": Traces that are able to discriminate between competing hypotheses, which distinguish one hypothesis as currently being the best explanation.



Jeffares (2008)

Sudden rise in the galaxy's brightness between two Gaia observations.

"We immediately thought it might be a supernova, but needed more clues to back up our claim."

Łukasz Wyrzykowski,

Warsaw University Astronomical Observatory



Sudden rise in the galaxy's brightness between two Gaia observations.

"We immediately thought it might be a supernova, but needed more clues to back up our claim."

Łukasz Wyrzykowski,

Warsaw University Astronomical Observatory

 Position of the bright spot of light was offset from the galaxy's core



Sudden rise in the galaxy's brightness between two Gaia observations.

"We immediately thought it might be a supernova, but needed more clues to back up our claim."

Łukasz Wyrzykowski,

Warsaw University Astronomical Observatory

- Position of the bright spot of light was offset from the galaxy's core
- Presence of iron and other elements characteristic for supernovas in the are found spectrum of the source.



Sudden rise in the galaxy's brightness between two Gaia observations.

"We immediately thought it might be a supernova, but needed more clues to back up our claim."

Łukasz Wyrzykowski,

Warsaw University Astronomical Observatory

- Position of the bright spot of light was offset from the galaxy's core
- Presence of iron and other elements characteristic for supernovas in the are found spectrum of the source.
- More spectral observations from the ground, using the Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) and the robotic Liverpool Telescope on La Palma, in the Canary Islands, Spain.



General causal relations with respect to classes of processes or objects.



General causal relations with respect to classes of processes or objects.

GAIA:

- Survey a billion stars in our Galaxy and the local galactic neighbourhood
- Detect thousands of planets
- Detect tens of thousands of asteroids
- Find brown dwarfs
- Detect tens of thousands of supernovae in distant galaxies



### "Experiments" in Observational Sciences

"Experiments" in Observational Sciences

#### **Natural experiments**

- Observational equivalent of randomized controlled experiments.
- Groups that are statistically similar on average but differ in the parameter under study are created by a natural process.

"Experiments" in Observational Sciences

#### **Natural experiments**

- Observational equivalent of randomized controlled experiments.
- Groups that are statistically similar on average but differ in the parameter under study are created by a natural process.

#### **Quasi experiments**

- No random assignment.
- Possible confounding factors have to be excluded: further experiments or quantitative methods (e.g. multivariate regression, dedicated modelling).

 Astrophysicists can use a multitude of different phenomena, provided by the universe in various environments and evolutionary states, in order to perform/observe quasi-experiments.

- Astrophysicists can use a multitude of different phenomena, provided by the universe in various environments and evolutionary states, in order to perform/observe quasi-experiments.
- However, the evaluation of these quasi-experiments requires the application of sophisticated statistical methods and the use of models and simulations, which in turn are often used as substitutes for traditional experiments.

The Sherlock Holmes method as well as statistical methods heavily rely on numerical modelling and simulation.

 How much simplification and idealisation is allowed not to loose the representative power or the model? What is the epistemic status of "toy models"?

- How much simplification and idealisation is allowed not to loose the representative power or the model? What is the epistemic status of "toy models"?
- How much "granularity" in the physical description is needed in semi-analytical simulations?

- How much simplification and idealisation is allowed not to loose the representative power or the model? What is the epistemic status of "toy models"?
- How much "granularity" in the physical description is needed in semi-analytical simulations?
- How can we validate and verify numerical models in astrophysics?

- How much simplification and idealisation is allowed not to loose the representative power or the model? What is the epistemic status of "toy models"?
- How much "granularity" in the physical description is needed in semi-analytical simulations?
- How can we validate and verify numerical models in astrophysics?
- How can crucial information on ingoing assumptions be secured in collective and international modelling efforts that may involve generations of modellers?

- How much simplification and idealisation is allowed not to loose the representative power or the model? What is the epistemic status of "toy models"?
- How much "granularity" in the physical description is needed in semi-analytical simulations?
- How can we validate and verify numerical models in astrophysics?
- How can crucial information on ingoing assumptions be secured in collective and international modelling efforts that may involve generations of modellers?
- What is the influence of models on our observational data?

The Sherlock Holmes method as well as statistical methods heavily rely on numerical modelling and simulation.

- How much simplification and idealisation is allowed not to loose the representative power or the model? What is the epistemic status of "toy models"?
- How much "granularity" in the physical description is needed in semi-analytical simulations?
- How can we validate and verify numerical models in astrophysics?
- How can crucial information on ingoing assumptions be secured in collective and international modelling efforts that may involve generations of modellers?
- What is the influence of models on our observational data?

There is a vivid discussion within the philosophy of science that is systematically studying these topics.

The statistical method relies on the generation of huge amounts of data.

The statistical method relies on the generation of huge amounts of data.

 Modern observational methods require much more manipulation and interaction than the classic picture of the astronomer looking through his or her telescope might suggest.

The statistical method relies on the generation of huge amounts of data.

- Modern observational methods require much more manipulation and interaction than the classic picture of the astronomer looking through his or her telescope might suggest.
- How can be assured that the best use is made of the generated data?

The statistical method relies on the generation of huge amounts of data.

- Modern observational methods require much more manipulation and interaction than the classic picture of the astronomer looking through his or her telescope might suggest.
- How can be assured that the best use is made of the generated data?
- How can scientific data be organised and stored in a way such that it can still be used in a meaningful way by future generations of astrophysicists?

The statistical method relies on the generation of huge amounts of data.

- Modern observational methods require much more manipulation and interaction than the classic picture of the astronomer looking through his or her telescope might suggest.
- How can be assured that the best use is made of the generated data?
- How can scientific data be organised and stored in a way such that it can still be used in a meaningful way by future generations of astrophysicists?

The social sciences and philosophy of science is increasingly focussing on questions related to scientific data treatment.

 Astrophysics has to deal with its methodological constraint of being an observational science without the possibility of interacting with its objects of research.

- Astrophysics has to deal with its methodological constraint of being an observational science without the possibility of interacting with its objects of research.
- There are two common strategies to deal with this constraint: the "Sherlock Holmes method" and the use of the "cosmic laboratory".

- Astrophysics has to deal with its methodological constraint of being an observational science without the possibility of interacting with its objects of research.
- There are two common strategies to deal with this constraint: the "Sherlock Holmes method" and the use of the "cosmic laboratory".
- Central aspects of both methods are the ubiquitous use of numerical models and the generation of huge amounts of data.

- Astrophysics has to deal with its methodological constraint of being an observational science without the possibility of interacting with its objects of research.
- There are two common strategies to deal with this constraint: the "Sherlock Holmes method" and the use of the "cosmic laboratory".
- Central aspects of both methods are the ubiquitous use of numerical models and the generation of huge amounts of data.
- Instruments like GAIA enable an overwhelming growth of our empirical knowledge. To make the best use of the observations we get, we always need to critically reflect modelling and data processing practices.

- Astrophysics has to deal with its methodological constraint of being an observational science without the possibility of interacting with its objects of research.
- There are two common strategies to deal with this constraint: the "Sherlock Holmes method" and the use of the "cosmic laboratory".
- Central aspects of both methods are the ubiquitous use of numerical models and the generation of huge amounts of data.
- Instruments like GAIA enable an overwhelming growth of our empirical knowledge. To make the best use of the observations we get, we always need to critically reflect modelling and data processing practices.
- For some of the questions arising in this context philosophical discussions may be helpful. In any case, science policy needs to create an environment in which a critical methodological reflection is rewarded by the system.